

distinctions and will influence the emergence of political, multi-ethnic nation of citizens. This in any way undermines the “sense-building” function of Ukrainian ethnos.

Thus, a promising direction of Ukrainian community development is dealt with creation of a unified multi-ethnic and multicultural nation of citizens with reservation of uniqueness and originality of each ethnic community, where everyone could feel himself Ukrainian regardless ethnic origin. But Ukrainian researchers should also play in this process an important role.

Keywords: *political nation, ethnicity nation, nationalism, national security.*

References:

1. Nagorna, L. (2011). *Social and cultural identity: traps of values distinctions*. – Kyiv: I. F. Kuras Institute of Political and Ethnic Studies of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (in Ukr.).
2. Kolodiy, A. (1997). *Nation as a subject of policy*. Lviv: Kalvariya (in Ukr.).
3. Shemshuchenko, Yu. et al. (Eds.). (2002). *Juridical encyclopedia: in 6th volumes*. Vol 4. Kyiv: Ukrayinskaya entsyklopediya (in Ukr.).
4. Kolodiy, A. (Ed.). (2003). *Political science*. (2d ed.). Kyiv: Elga, Nika-Tsenter (in Ukr.).
5. Lypynskyy V. Nationalism, patriotism, chauvinism. In Rymarenko Yu. et al. (Eds.). (1997). *Small encyclopedia of ethnic and state studies*. Kyiv: Lybid (in Ukr.).
6. Krysachenko, V. S. (Ed.). (2004). *Ukrainian political nation: genesis, status, prospects*. Kyiv: National Institute for Strategic Studies (in Ukr.).
7. Nagorna, L. (2008). *Regional Identity: Ukrainian context*. Kyiv: I. F. Kuras Institute of Political and Ethnic Studies of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (in Ukr.).
8. Kymlichka V. (2001). *Liberalism and rights of minorities*. Kharkiv: Tsentr osvutnih initsiativ (in Ukr.).

Stezhko Yuriy G.

Ph. D. in pedagogical sciences, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine.
stezhko@mail.ru

NATIONAL AUTHENTICITY OF PERSONALITY IN NEW REALITIES OF SOCIAL LIFE

Abstract. The article expresses considerations about causes of delayed development of the civil society of Ukraine, the slowdown in the rate of its democratization. The wronged and contradictable to values of civil society thinking is hidden in mentality of Ukrainians, their non-state psychology and individualism what was written a lot of publications and articles. Following to the words of mr. Tolochko P.

it's about a conflict that arises by three appeared in Ukraine subethnics and according to points of Mr. Pavlenko Yu. it's about "misunderstanding" among average Western and Eastern peoples.

It is proved that mentality is not a historical constant and it is changing and becomes a sample of democracy of a civil service character, losing their archaic features of individualism, incompatible to civil society said leading scientists of today.

The most clearly national consolidation to state values arises in "critical situations" under the influence of political conflicts sharing the view of Mrs. Kvitsinia M. Modern history gives facts of a political activity come out, Ukrainians state aspirations-features, that mentally make Ukrainian nation near to European values.

A developing point that Ukrainians potentially are able to take Western achievements more naturally comparing to the most different peoples, but the obstacle to the democratical changes, reconstruction of civil society is not the mentality of Ukrainians, but non-dependent to the society the party representation in the domination the same representational democracy which make stronger the state centralisation. Confirmed this is the very time for the transition from more suitable Ukrainian mentality a representative democracy what historically has depleted their its potential to direct democracy of the participatory type.

Key words: *mentality, civil society, democracy.*

References:

1. Malyarenko, V. (2010, September 25). Why Ukraine is Backward Country? *Kholos Ukrayiny (Voice of Ukraine)*, pp. 6–7 (in Ukr.).
2. Bakhanov, K. (2005). *Teaching History in school: innovation aspects*. Kharkiv: Osnova (in Ukr.).
3. Kvitsinia, M. (2010). To the question of socialization of person under the conditions of ethnical conflict. *Voprosy filosofiye (Questions of Philosophy)*, 1, 166–170 (in Russ.).
4. Tolochko, P. (2010, April 16–22). Are Ukrainians able for dialogue? *Gazeta 2000 (Newspaper 2000)*, pp. 2–3 (in Russ.).
5. Pavlenko, Yu. (2004). Background and Civilization Identity of Ukrainian people. *COLLEGIUM. Mizhnarodnyy Naukovyy Zhurnal (COLLEGIUM. International Scientific Magazin)*, 15, 149–164 (in Ukr.).
6. Tselik, T. (2004). The Problem of a Person under the Culture of Kyiv Rus. *COLLEGIUM. Mizhnarodnyy Naukovyy Zhurnal (COLLEGIUM. International Scientific Magazin)*, 15, 129–149 (in Ukr.).
7. Lukianets, V., & Sobol O. (1998). *Philosophical Post-Modernist Style*. Kyiv: Abris (in Ukr.).