

Session 2 “Discourse of War and Peace in the Modern Information and Communication Space” / Секція 2 «Дискурс війни та миру в сучасному інформаційно-комунікаційному просторі»

Dr. Steph Allen

Principal Academic in Learning Development, Academic Integrity, and Gen AI

Department of Humanities and Law

Faculty of Media & Communication, Bournemouth University,

Olena Titova,

PhD in Economics,

Head of the Foreign Languages Department at Law Institute,

Kyiv National Economic University named after Vadym Hetman,

DIGITAL DIPLOMACY AND CONFLICT RESOLUTION

In an era marked by rapid technological progress and global interconnectedness, the convergence of digital diplomacy and conflict resolution has emerged as a focal point for policymakers, scholars, and practitioners. The evolution of digital technologies, coupled with the widespread presence of social media platforms, has reshaped the landscape within which diplomatic initiatives, mediation endeavors, and peacebuilding efforts unfold. This report discusses the multifaceted realm of digital diplomacy and its indispensable role in navigating the complexities of conflict resolution in the current information and communication environment and offers real-world examples, such as the use of social media in diplomacy by various state leaders, demonstrating the potential and impact of digital diplomacy.

Purpose of diplomacy

Diplomacy traditionally aims to manage international relations and foster cooperation between states to ensure peace and stability. The core functions of diplomacy include negotiation, communication, representation, and conflict resolution. The role of digital diplomacy enhances these functions by incorporating

real-time communication and broader engagement through digital platforms. An example is the United Nations' use of digital tools to promote its Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), facilitating global partnerships and local implementations (al-Helou, 2015).

Diplomacy in conflict resolution

Diplomacy plays a crucial role in conflict resolution by facilitating dialogue between conflicting parties, mediating disputes, and promoting peaceful settlements. Traditional diplomatic methods involve face-to-face negotiations and back-channel communications. The significance of digital diplomacy and online communication strategies in the context of conflict resolution and peacebuilding cannot be overstated. In our interconnected world, where information travels swiftly and global connectivity is at an all-time high, traditional diplomatic methods are being complemented, and sometimes replaced, by digital tools and platforms. Governments, international organizations, and civil society entities are leveraging digital technologies to engage in dialogue, foster understanding, and alleviate tensions in conflict-affected regions.

Digital diplomacy and online communication strategies: utilization of digital tools and platforms

The rapid advancement of digital technologies has facilitated real-time communication, improved data sharing capabilities, and extended the reach of diplomatic initiatives beyond geographical confines. Social media platforms, particularly, have become indispensable for shaping public opinion, rallying support for peace endeavors, and countering narratives that perpetuate conflict. By harnessing digital platforms effectively, stakeholders can engage diverse audiences, facilitate dialogue among conflicting parties, and advocate narratives of reconciliation and collaboration. However, the digital era has introduced new dimensions to these traditional methods. For instance, during the COVID-19 pandemic, virtual meetings and conferences became essential tools for maintaining diplomatic engagements and peace talks, such as the virtual negotiations between the Afghan government and the Taliban in 2020 (United Nations, 2020). Additionally, the role of social media in the

Arab Spring highlighted how digital platforms can mobilize support and bring international attention to local issues (Guesmi, 2021). Finally, the U.S. Department of State has successfully used social media platforms to counter violent extremism by promoting narratives of peace and inclusivity.

Digital Communication Campaigns

Digital communication campaigns aim to influence public opinion, mobilize support for peace initiatives, and counter narratives that perpetuate conflict. Through targeted messaging, storytelling, and multimedia content, these campaigns aim to shift perceptions, challenge stereotypes, and promote narratives of coexistence and cooperation, thus contributing to fostering reconciliation and preventing conflict escalation. A recent example includes the Defy Hate Now (2024) organisation which combats hate speech and misinformation amongst the youth and community and their relationship with the media in several countries, including the South Sudan, through social media and digital literacy campaigns.

Online Dialogue Mechanisms

Online dialogue mechanisms, such as virtual peace conferences, webinars, and digital dialogue platforms, play a vital role in fostering reconciliation and promoting mutual understanding among conflicting parties. These mechanisms have demonstrated promise in creating spaces for constructive engagement, exchanging perspectives, and building trust between adversaries, thereby contributing to conflict resolution efforts. For instance, the virtual peacebuilding efforts in Colombia involved online platforms for dialogue between the government, FARC rebels, and civil society, leading to significant advancements in the peace process (The Dialogue, 2021).

Challenges for Effective Digital Diplomacy

While digital diplomacy holds significant promise, it also faces several challenges:

Technological Barriers

- **No Electricity:** In many conflict zones, consistent access to electricity is a significant issue, hindering digital communication efforts. For example, in Yemen, the

ongoing conflict has severely damaged infrastructure, leading to frequent power outages and limited access to electricity. This has significantly hindered efforts to use digital communication tools for diplomatic discussions and peacebuilding initiatives. For instance, during crucial periods of peace talks mediated by the United Nations, the lack of reliable electricity in many areas prevented effective communication and real-time engagement between conflicting parties and international mediators (Human Rights Watch, 2021).

- **Digital Divide:** The disparity in access to digital technologies between different regions and socio-economic groups can limit the effectiveness of digital diplomacy. To illustrate, the digital divide in the Syrian Civil War has been a significant barrier to diplomatic efforts. While urban areas like Damascus and Aleppo have relatively better access to digital technologies, rural and conflict-ridden regions remain largely disconnected. This disparity has limited the effectiveness of digital diplomacy initiatives aimed at fostering dialogue and disseminating information about peacebuilding efforts. For example, efforts by international NGOs to engage Syrian youth in digital peacebuilding campaigns faced challenges due to uneven internet access and lack of digital literacy in remote areas (Hackl & Najdi, 2023).

Communication Barriers

- **Time Zones:** Coordinating real-time communication across different time zones can be challenging. For example, coordinating negotiations for the Iran nuclear deal involved stakeholders from various countries, including the United States, European Union, and Iran, each in different time zones. Real-time communication and decision-making were often challenging due to the significant time differences. This was particularly evident during critical phases of the negotiations, where delays and asynchronous communication hampered the timely resolution of issues (Samore, 2015).

- **Language and Cultural Differences:** Effective communication requires addressing language barriers, understanding linguistic codes, and understanding cultural nuances. These have long been barriers in the Israeli-Palestinian peace process.

Effective communication requires addressing these differences, such as competing historical narratives that influence contemporary perspectives but digital diplomacy efforts have sometimes struggled with translation accuracy and cultural misunderstandings. For instance, during online dialogue initiatives, mistranslations and cultural insensitivities such as terms used in political statements or military operations may inflame public sentiment and have occasionally exacerbated tensions rather than fostering mutual understanding (Karman, 2016).

- **Local Censorship:** In some regions, governmental or cultural censorship can restrict access to digital platforms and information and may be due to societal leanings. Conflicts where divergent historical, political and educational perspectives are enforced, reinforcing separate identities from a young age. Such differences affect how each side perceives and interacts with the other (UNESCO, 2024).

Social and Structural Barriers

- **Gender and Hierarchical Barriers:** Social structures and hierarchies can influence who participates in digital diplomacy efforts, potentially marginalizing certain groups (Karman, 2016).

- **Bias:** Algorithms and platform policies can sometimes reinforce existing biases, affecting the impartiality of digital diplomacy initiatives. Social media platforms amplify these biases. Algorithms that prioritize engagement can lead to echo chambers where users are only exposed to information that confirms their existing views, further entrenching divisions (United Nations Sustainable Development Group, 2024).

Despite the challenges that can hinder progressive peaceful negotiations, the pros and cons underscore the transformative potential of digital diplomacy in conflict resolution and peacebuilding efforts. It highlights how digital tools and platforms have revolutionized traditional diplomatic practices by enabling real-time communication, broadening engagement, and facilitating the exchange of ideas across geographical boundaries. Real-world examples, such as the use of social media in the Arab Spring and the virtual peace talks in Afghanistan, illustrate the significant impact of digital

diplomacy in mobilizing support, shaping public opinion, and advancing peace initiatives.

However, the integration of digital diplomacy also presents challenges, including technological barriers, digital divides, misinformation, and cyber threats. Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive approach that includes capacity building, ethical guidelines, multi-stakeholder collaboration, and support for research and innovation. Initiatives such as the International Civil Society Action Network's (2020) #SheBuildsPeace Campaign and the collaboration between the United Nations and tech companies exemplify successful strategies in leveraging digital technologies for peacebuilding.

In conclusion, digital diplomacy offers unprecedented opportunities to enhance conflict resolution processes by fostering inclusive dialogue, promoting ethical practices, and utilizing innovative technologies. By addressing the inherent challenges and maximizing the potential of digital tools, stakeholders can contribute to more effective and sustainable peacebuilding efforts globally. The narrative highlights the need for ongoing investment in digital literacy, ethical frameworks, and collaborative partnerships to ensure that digital diplomacy continues to serve as a powerful force in advancing global peace and stability.

References

1. al-Helou, Y. (2015, August 20). Social media: The weapon of choice in the Gaza-Israel conflict. Middle East Eye. <https://www.middleeasteye.net/news/social-media-weapon-choice-gaza-israel-conflict>
2. *DefyHateNow*. (2024). 211 Check's Impact in 2023: Combating misinformation and promoting fact-checking in South Sudan. <https://defyhatenow.org/211-checks-impact-in-2023-combating-misinformation-and-promoting-fact-checking-in-south-sudan/>

3. The Dialogue. (2021, December 17). Building the Peace in Colombia: Five years after the end of the FARC conflict. *The Dialogue: Leadership for the Americas*. <https://www.thedialogue.org/analysis/building-the-peace-in-colombia-five-years-after-the-end-of-the-farc-conflict/>
4. Guesmi, H. (2021, January 27). The social media myth about the Arab Spring. *AlJazeera*. <https://www.aljazeera.com/opinions/2021/1/27/the-social-media-myth-about-the-arab-spring>.
5. Hackl, A., & Najdi, W. (2023). Online work as humanitarian relief? The promise and limitations of digital livelihoods for Syrian refugees and Lebanese youth during times of crisis. *Sage*. 56 (1), 100-116.
6. Human Rights Watch. (2021, September 9). *Yemen: events of 2020*. <https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2021/country-chapters/yemen>
7. International Civil Society Action Network (ICAN). (2020). *She Builds Peace*. <https://icanpeacework.org/shebuildspeace>
8. Karman, T. (2016, December) *Women and the Arab spring*. <https://www.un.org/en/chronicle/article/women-and-arab-spring>
9. Samore, G. (2015, August). *The Iran nuclear deal: a definitive guide*. <https://www.belfercenter.org/publication/iran-nuclear-deal-definitive-guide>
10. UNESCO. (2024, February). *New UNGA Resolution Strengthens UNESCO's Commitment to Media Development for Indigenous Peoples*. <https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/new-unga-resolution-strengthens-unescos-commitment-media-development-indigenous-peoples>
11. United Nations. (2020, December). *Amid promising developments in Afghan peace talk, relentless increase of violence putting future progress at risk, special representative warns security council*. <https://press.un.org/en/2020/sc14391.doc.htm>
12. United Nations Sustainable Development Group. (2024, May 13). *Transforming through Turbulence: UN Teams Coordinate for Collective Impact*. <https://unsdg.un.org/latest/stories/transforming-through-turbulence-un-teams-coordinate-collective-impact>